| 1 | JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332) United States Attorney |
|----|---|
| 2 | BRIAN STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division |
| 4 | DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney |
| 5 | 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 |
| 6 | San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 |
| 7 | Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov |
| 8 | Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 9 | Autoritelys for Flamuit |
| 10 | A D VIDED CITA DESCRIPTION COLUMN |
| 11 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 12 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 13 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION |
| 14 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR 03-08-70552 JCS |
| 15 | |
| | Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER) CONTINUING THE ARRAIGNMENT / |
| 16 | v.) PRELIMINARY HEARING DATE) |
| 17 | DAPHENE VICKERS, |
| 18 | Defendant. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | This matter is set for a Preliminary Hearing / Arraignment on March 16, 2009 at 9:30 am. |
| 21 | The parties now seek to continue this date until May 7, 2009 am for the following reasons. First, |
| 22 | the parties have met twice to discuss pre-indictment resolution and are diligently exploring the |
| 23 | discussed possibilities. As part of these discussions, defense counsel needs to engage in some |
| 24 | additional legal research to address the legal implications of certain resolutions. Second, since |
| 25 | her release, the defendant has been living with her custodian and mother, Frankie Stanley, in |
| 26 | Tyler, Texas. Ms. Stanley, requests that the continued hearing be scheduled for May 2009 to |
| 27 | avoid her taking off additional time in April 2009 from her job, which will be to her financial |
| 28 | UNITED STATES V. VICKERS, 03-08-70552 JCS, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER |

| - 1 | |
|-----|---|
| | detriment. The United States does not object to the custodian's request. Further, defense counsel |
| | does not believe it is in her client's best interests for the Court to hold a Preliminary Hearing |
| | within the time provided for under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) and therefore |
| | waives time under that Rule. The parties represent that granting the continuance was necessary |
| | for effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and that |
| | the ends of justice served by the granting of such continuance outweigh the best interests of the |
| | public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). |
| | SO STIPULATED: |
| | JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney |
| | DATED: March 10, 2009 Solution |
| | DATED: March 10, 2009 /s/ NINA WILDER Attorney for DAPHNE VICKERS |
| | For the foregoing reasons, this matter is continued until May 7, 2009 at 9:30 am. |
| | Pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18 United States Code, sections 3161(h)(8)(A) and |
| | 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv), the ends of justice are served by granting the requested continuance, given that |
| | failure to do so would deny counsel effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due |
| | diligence, and the request of the defendant's custodian. Accordingly, time shall be excluded |
| | from March 16, 200% through May 7, 2009. |
| | SO ORDERED. |
| | DATED: 3(17/09 Honorable Edward M. Chen United States Magistrate Court Judge |
| | UNITED STATES V. VICKERS, 03-08-70552 JCS, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 2 |